

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/072,590	10/072,590 02/06/2002		Bernard Beier	600.1214	1813	
23280	7590	12/01/2003		EXAMINER		
	•	DSON & KAPPI NUE, 14TH FLOO	TRAN, HU	TRAN, HUAN HUU		
NEW YORK, NY 10018				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			2861		

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	` .				lx			
		Applicat	tion No.	Applicant(s)	~			
	·	10/072,5	590	BEIER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			er	Art Unit				
		Huan H.	Tran	2861				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun	nication appears on th	ne cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	dress			
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN resions of time may be available under the provision: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (i) period for reply is specified above, the maximum s re to reply within the set or extended period for repl eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. ' 30) days, a reply within the sta tatutory period will apply and ' y will, by statute, cause the ap	event, however, may a nature of thir will expire SIX (6) MON opplication to become Ab	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely NTHS from the mailing date of this co BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on						
2a)□	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is r	non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6 and 12-14</u> is/are reClaim(s) <u>3,5 and 7-11</u> is/are objected Claim(s) are subject to restri	ejected. ed to.		BEST AVAILA	BLE CO.			
	ion Papers				JOY.			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the training (s) filed on <u>06 February</u> Applicant may not request that any objection of the property of the specific property of the sp	$\frac{2002}{2000}$ is/are: a)	be held in abeyar ired if the drawing	objected to by the Examinate. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	ner. FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Constant and the	-1051100	C 440(-) (d) (f)	•			
* \$ 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action Acknowledgment is made of a claim since a specific reference was included 7 CFR 1.78. b) The translation of the foreign land Acknowledgment is made of a claim eference was included in the first ser	documents have be documents have be documents have be of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Rubon for a list of the cerfor domestic priority and in the first sentences anguage provisional afor domestic priority	een received. een received in Anents have been ule 17.2(a)). tified copies not under 35 U.S.C. te of the specific application has bunder 35 U.S.C.	Application No In received in this National received. § 119(e) (to a provisional cation or in an Application seen received. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	I application) Data Sheet a specific			
2) Notice	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(Informal Patent Application (PTC				

Application/Control Number: 10/072,590 Page 2

Art Unit: 2861

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claim 1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Endriz (US Patent 5793783 cited in the IDS filed on 02/06/02) in view of Marchant et al. (US Patent 6353502).

With reference to Fig. 4 for example, Endriz discloses a device for recording images on a printing form comprising:

an array of individually addressable light sources (34); imaging optics (37-40) for generating n.times.m imaging spots on the

Application/Control Number: 10/072,590

Art Unit: 2861

printing form, n being greater than one and m being greater than or equal to one and n and m being natural numbers; at least two of the r times.s light sources being controllable independently of one another, r being greater than or equal to n and s being greater than or equal to m, r and s being natural numbers.

As to claim 2, Endriz teaches the limitation "the array of r.times.s VCSEL light sources includes a subarray of at least two of the VCSEL light source, the printing form having at least one specific imaging spot formed by combining light emitted by the subarray of the r.times.s VCSEL light sources". See subarrays 33, 33.

As to claim 4, it is clearly seen that the printing form has a row of n imaging spots with a distance between adjacent spots.

As to claim 6, it is clearly seen that the limitation "the imaging optics includes at least one component, and the array of VCSEL light sources includes at least one subarray, the at least one component acting on the at least one subarray and being a microoptical component". See the description of the array of light sources and of the imaging optics in the cited references.

Endriz does not teach that the array of light sources including an array of r.times.s VCSEL light sources,

Application/Control Number: 10/072,590

Art Unit: 2861

Marchant et al. shows that the use of VCSEL array as a light sourse for multichannel recording, printing, optical data processing is known in the art.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a VCSEL array as taught in Marchant et al. in place of the light source in Endriz since an array of light emitting diodes would enable high density printing.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: As to claim 3, prior art of record do not appear to teach or suggest the limitation that the array of r.times.s VCSEL light sources is constituted in modular form by a plurality of subarrays as shown in Fig. 4 of the present application.

As to claim 5, prior art of record do not teach or suggest the claimed limitation "the subarray has at least one first and one second VCSEL light source, the first and second VCSEL light sources being controlled so that light emitted by the first VCSEL light source is in a fixed phase relation to light emitted by the second VCSEL light source"

As to claim 7, prior art of record do not teach or suggest the claimed .

limitation "wherein the array of VCSEL light sources has at least one

Application/Control Number: 10/072,590

Art Unit: 2861

subarray, the subarray having a VCSEL light source provided as a reference emitter for diagnosing parameters relevant to emission"

As to claim 8, prior art of record do not teach or suggest the limitation "wherein the array of VCSEL light sources has a subarray, the imaging optics for the subarray having a component with a focal position variable as a function of a distance at least one light source of the array of VCSEL light sources to the printing form"

As to claim 9, prior art does not teach or suggest the limitation "wherein the array of VCSEL light sources has at least one first light source having a control, the control, as a function of a power output from the first light source, varying an input power when the power output deviates from a setpoint value"

As to claim 10, prior art of record do not teach or suggest the limitation "wherein the at least one first light source is a reference emitter of a subarray of the array of VCSEL light sources, the input power being the input power for at least one further light source of the subarray when the power output deviates from a setpoint value"

As to claim 11, prior art does not teach or suggest the limitation "wherein at least one light source of the array of VCSEL light sources generates short pulsed radiation"

Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Endriz in view of Marchant et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of D'Heureuse et al. (US Patent 6318264 cited in the IDS filed on 02/06/02).

Endriz in view of Marchant et al. discloses the claimed invention except for the limitation that the printing device is used in a printing-form imaging unit.

Application/Control Number: 10/072,590

Art Unit: 2861

A printing-form imaging unit is known in the art such as one shown in D'Heureuse et al.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the imaging device taught by Endriz in view of Marchant et al. as the imaging device in the printing-form imaging unit of D'Heureuse et al. to enable high density imaging.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huan H. Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-0749. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F with Monday off, from 7:30am to 6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benjamin Fuller can be reached on (703) 308-0079. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1749.

Huan H. Tran
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2861

hht 11/25/03